## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: GEOFFREY K. GAVIN 1100 PEACHTREE STREET, #2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309-4530  Applicant's or agent's file reference	PCT  NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) 0 3 SEP 2004					
39932 283893 International application No.	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below  International filing date					
PCT/US04/09682 Applicant	(day/month/year) 30 March 2004 (30.03.2004)					
PATHFIRE, INC.						
Authority have been established and are transmitted here	1. The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.  Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:					
When? The time limit for filing such amendments	is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international					
search report.  Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIF 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile N						
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	accompanying sheet.					
	arch report will be established and that the declaration under the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.					
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) add	litional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:					
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.						
_	applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.					
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.						
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.						
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.						
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the	hths (or later) will apply even if no demand is fifed within 19 months. the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's					
Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet sit  Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Matthew T Hearing					
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450						
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703)305-3900					
Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	(See notes on accompanying sheet)					
Docketed for: 18/20/64 Entered on: 9/13/64	RECEIVED					
Initials:	SEP 0 8 2004					

SEP 0 8 2004

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 39932 283893		Form PCT/ISA/220 ere applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No. PCT/US04/09682	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 March 2004 (30.03.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 02 April 2003 (02.04.2003)				
Applicant PATHFIRE, INC.	••					
	This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.					
This international search report consists  It is also accompanied	of a total of sheets. I by a copy of each prior art document cited	in this report.				
<ol> <li>Basis of the Report</li> <li>a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>						
	The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).					
	de and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in unsearchable (See Box No. II)	the international application, see Box No. I.				
3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III) 4. With regard to the title,  the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.						
the text has been established	by this Authority to read as follows:					
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as subm	uitted by the applicant.					
the text has been established	, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ch report, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be as suggested by the	published with the abstract is Figure Noapplicant.	- <del>-</del>				
	Authority, because the applicant failed to sugg					
b. none of the figures is to be p	Authority, because this figure better characterioublished with the abstract.	izes the invention.				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

	PCT/US04/09682
Box IV TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)	
The abstract contains statements on the alleged merits or value of the claimed invention and on its speculative application (PCT Rule 3.1(c)).  The following is a new abstract:  A method for securely transmitting data involves generating keys depending on previous keys and additional information, such as a password, in order to create a pseudo one-time pad. The data is encrypted using the pseudo one-time pad prior to transmission. Only the initial key and minimal additional data are transferred between the sender and receiver in order to synchronize the keys.	
	# ==,
	•

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/09682

	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER	.0.10.5		
	IPC(7) : G06F 11/30, 12/14; H04L 9/00 9/32; H04K 1/04, 1/06			
	US CL: 713/200, 201, 202; 380/36, 37, 44  According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC			
	DS SEARCHED			
	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 713/200, 201, 202; 380/36, 37, 44			
Documentation	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched			
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (nam	ne of data ba	ase and, where practicable, sear	rch terms used)
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate,	of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6,307,940 B1 (Yamamoto et al.) 23 October 200		001), Whole Document,	1-2
Y	Especially Col. 2, Col. 18 - Col. 22, and Figure 23			3-5
Y	Y US 5,412,730 A (Jones) 2 May 1995 (02.05.1995), Fig. 1, Col. 1, and Col. 4.		3-4	
Y	Y Schneier, Bruce, "Applied Cryptography", 1996, Second Edition, pages 353-354.		on, pages 353-354.	4
Y	US 5,680,460 A (Tomko et al.) 21 October 1997 (2	1.10.1997)	Col. 2	5
Further	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.		See patent family annex.	
"A" document	pecial categories of cited documents: t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	"T"	later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applic principle or theory underlying the inve	cation but cited to understand the
	alar relevance oplication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be conside when the document is taken alone	
establish specified)	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as  t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"Υ"	document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive ste combined with one or more other sucl being obvious to a person skilled in th	p when the document is h documents, such combination
	t published prior to the international filing date but later than the ate claimed	<b>"</b> &"	document member of the same patent	family
	ctual completion of the international search	Date of n	nailing of the international sear	ch report
19 August 20	04 (19.08.2004)	U	3 SFP/1004	,
	ailing address of the ISA/US	Authorize		
	il Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Matthew	1 //	·
	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450			
Ale	xandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephon	(203)305-3900	
	. (703) 305-3230	L-/-	/	
roiii rC1/18#	A/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)			

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREAT.

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **PCT** GEOFFREY K. GAVIN 1100 PEACHTREE STREET, #2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309-4530 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing SFP 2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 39932 283893 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US04/09682 30 March 2004 (30.03.2004) 02 April 2003 (02.04.2003) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06F 11/30, 12/14; H04L 9/00 9/32; H04K 1/04, 1/06 and US Cl.: 713/200, 201, 202; 380/36, 37, 44 Applicant PATHFIRE, INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Matthew T Henny Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Telephone No. (103)305-3900

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/09682

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With a it was	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ad invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	Initialized subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of scarcif.
3. 🗌	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additio	onal comments:
	~·.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/09682

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims		 YES
	Claims	1-2	 NO
Inventive step (IS)			 YES NO
	Claims	1-5	 NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims		 YES NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
Please See Continuation Sheet			
			,

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. \_. PCT/US04/09682

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
V. 2. Citations and Explanations:  Claims 1-2 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. Yamamoto et al. disclosed dividing a message to be encrypted into blocks and setting a number of blocks to be encrypted with each key (See Yamamoto et al. Col. 18 Paragraph 4 Lines 9-12). Yamamoto et al. further disclosed encrypting the first set of blocks with a first key (Col. 18 Paragraph 5 Lines 13-14). Yamamoto et al. depicted and disclosed generating new keys from previous keys and an initial value (See Fig. 23 and Col. 2 Lines 10-37) and encrypting the second set of blocks with the second key (See Col. 18 Paragraph 5 Lines 14-15).
Yamamoto et al. also disclosed after encrypting the message, sending the message to the receiver (See Col. 18 Paragraph 5 lines 15-17). Yamamoto et al. further disclosed removing the keys once they were used (See Fig. 14 and Col. 22 Lines 12-13). Yamamoto et al. also disclosed producing a key for each set of blocks and using the key to encrypt that set of blocks (See Col. 20 Lines 12-15).
Claim 3 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Yamamoto et al. in view of Jones. Yamamoto et al. did disclose using a password (initial value) and shift points (block lengths and number of blocks per key) to create keys for encrypting (See Yamamoto et al. Figure 23 and Col. 18 Paragraphs 4-5). Yamamoto also disclosed transmitting the initial value to the receiver (See Yamamoto et al. Col. 18 Paragraph 5 Lines 1-2) but failed to disclose transmitting the shift points to the receiver. Jones teaches that in order to synchronize the key generators in a key changing system and to accurately decipher the transmitted data, an interval number is transmitted between the sender and the receiver (See Jones Fig. 1 and Col. 1 Lines 54-58 and Col. 4 Lines 3-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ the teachings of Jones to the cipher of Yamamoto et al. by transmitting the interval value from the sender to the receiver. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to allow the intended receiver of the encrypted message to properly decipher the message.

Claim 4 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Schneier. Yamamoto et al. disclosed a password (See Yamamoto et al. Figure 23 and Col. 18 Paragraphs 4-5) and Jones disclosed an iteration value and symbol value (See Jones Col. 1 Lines 54-58). However, Yamamoto and Jones failed to disclose the use of a hash algorithm to create the key. Schneier teaches that using a one-way hash function as the key generator for a block cipher causes the cipher to run almost as fast as the hash function itself (See Schneier Page 353 Message Digest Cipher Lines 1-4 and Page 354 Figure 14.5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ the teachings of Schneier to the combination of Yamamoto et al. and Jones by using a hash function to create the keys. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to create a cipher that is both simple and fast.

Claim 5 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Yamamoto et al. in view of Tomko et al. Yamamoto failed to disclose the use of digital media as the first key. Tomko et al. teaches the use of biometric data, such as a fingerprint, as input to a key generator (See Tomko et al. Col. 2 Paragraphs 2-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to employ the teachings of Tomko in the invention of Yamamoto et al. in order to generate the first key of the cipher. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to provide an extremely secure initial key, which was readily accessible, yet unknown to the user.

#### NOTESTO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article," "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

#### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

#### The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: 'Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11.'
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]: 'Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.

#### "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

#### It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (July 1998; reprint April 2002)